IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4817 OF 2005

Shri. Dadarao Namdeorao Ghurde ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. Nitin Sejpal & Ms Pooja Bhojne for the Applicant.
Mr. K. V. Saste, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, J.
DATED : AUGUST 02, 2005.

P.C.:

1. This is an Application made by the accused for extention of time to dispose of the case pending in the Sessions Court. By order dated 8th February, 2005 passed in Criminal Application 618 of 2005, this Court directed the Principal Judge of the Sessions Court, Bombay to assign the case to the Fast Track Court with a view to ensure early disposal of the trial. This Court directed that trial should be preferably concluded within six months from the date of receipt of the writ of the Application.

2. The direction issued by this Court is to the concerned learned Judge taking up the trial. If there is any difficulty in complying with the direction given by this Court for early disposal of the trial, it was for the learned Trial Judge to submit a report to this Court and seek extention. The Application for extention of time at the instance of a litigant is not maintainable.

3. At this stage, Advocate for the Applicant made

a statement across the Bar that this Application is made by the accused as learned Trial Judge orally directed the Applicant-accused to apply for extention of time. In my view, the Application is misconceived and not maintainable. Accordingly, the Application is rejected.

4. This order will not preclude the learned Trial Judge from submitting a report to this Court and to request for extention of time.

JUDGE